

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_	APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-	10/063,092	10/063,092 03/19/2002 28549 7590 08/12/2004		Steven Thomas DiLodovico	201-0486 FAM	8178
	28549				EXAMINER	
	KEVIN G.	MIERZV	VA		MANCHO, RONNIE M	
ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C. 28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD, SUITE 250					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SOUTHFIE				3663	

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/063,092	DILODOVICO ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	Ronnie Mancho	3663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: S	or reconsideration has been con ee Continuation Sheet	sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)□ will not be entered or l would be rejected is provided be	b)⊠ will be entered and an low or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5-21</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner						
9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:						
	. and	THOMAS G. BLACK THOMAS G. BLACK ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE GROUP 3600				

THOMAS G. BLACK
THOMAS G. BLACK
PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 36 30

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art reads on the claims. As pointed out by the applicant, the examniner in the last office actions inadvertently referred to the glare sensor of Turnbull as the object detection system instead of the collision event black box of Turnbull, column 25.

In particular, applicant's argument is centered on an object detection signal synchronized with a current time. The prior art, Turnbull (col. 25, lines 2-28) discloses synchronizing a time of a collision event in real time after using inertial detectors to detect an object that impact's a user's car as known in the art. In addition, the signals of the detected object are stored when the accident occurs. That is how the data stored in the black box reveals that there was a collision with an object and that the collision took place at a given synchronized time and place.

Therefore, Turnbol anticipates the claims.

2